

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,198 06/30/2003		06/30/2003	Gaurav Sharma	114790	1197
27074	7590	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.				HUNG, YUBIN	
P.O. BOX I				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				FAFER NUMBER	
				2624	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/604.198 SHARMA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Yubin Hung 2624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other: _ Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Application/Control Number: 10/604,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

I. Species of Fig. 10, related to claims 1-11 and 25-40

II. Species of Fig. 9, related to claims 12-24

2. The species are independent or distinct because they are directed to related

processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of

operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are

mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See

MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially

different design, mode of operation, function, or effect because one (I) is directed to

analyzing an image to select the best profiles while the other (II) is directed to th3e

creation of profiles. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass

overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious

variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/604,198 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/604,198 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Telephone calls were made to Mr. Joel S. Armstrong on 10/03/06 and 10/06/06

to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an

election being made.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yubin Hung whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7451. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yubin Hung Patent Examiner Art Unit 2624

October 10, 2006